

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/053,143 01/17/2002		01/17/2002	Thomas Deyette JR.	1001.1475101	4732	
28075	7590	03/03/2006	EXAMINER			
		GER & TUFTE, LL	DAWSON, GLENN K			
1221 NICOI SUITE 800	LET AVI	ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55403-2420	3731			

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Astion Comme	10/053,143	DEYETTE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Glenn K. Dawson	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 De	ecember 200 <u>5</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)	and 39 is/are withdrawn from co	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2002 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/053,143

Art Unit: 3731

## **Drawings**

Page 2

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the axially rotatable button coupled to a gear must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3731

#### Election/Restrictions

Page 3

Claims 1-6,8,20,30,31,33-36 and 39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12-23-2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/053,143

Art Unit: 3731

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 4

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 17,18,21-26 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turovsky, et al.-2002/0128679 in view of Gillick, et al.-6755854 and Sugarbaker, et al.-5928264.

Turovsky discloses a catheter and actuator for placing a filter into a patient. The outer sheath of the catheter is withdrawn and allows the self-expanding filter to expand. An actuator has a manifold and a slide button attached to the outer sheath for moving the sheath relative to an inner catheter which allows for the expansion of the filter. However, the specific type of actuator is not disclosed. Gillick discloses an actuator for retracting an outer sheath relative to an inner shaft in order to allow for the delivery and expansion of a self-expanding medical device such as a stent.

The device has an inner shaft 60, an outer sheath 26, a proximal tubular member with teeth 106, a gear 44 and a button 32 having a rack 42 for moving gear 44 to translate along rack 106 to cause the outer sheath to advance or retract relative to the inner shaft. It would have been obvious to have used the actuator of Gillick on the filter introducer of Turovsky, as an obvious alternative actuation mechanism, as Gillick teaches that this type of actuator allows for more precise placement of the article as the movement necessary for the actuation is lateral, not longitudinal. See Gillick-col. 4 lines 3-16. However the actuator is not rotatable. Sugarbaker discloses that it was known to provide a rotatable thumbwheel 82 to rotate a pinion gear 78. It would have been obvious to have provided a wheel to rotate the gear 44 of Gillick, as Sugarbaker discloses such and would have been a known equivalent alternative mechanism for causing rotation of the gear.

The examiner contends that it would also have been obvious to have used an expandable filter in Gillick's device in view of Turovsky's teaching of a self-expandable filter being introduced by a sheath and actuator arrangement.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 0914-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As outlined above, the examiner has provided a reference showing the rotatable wheel actuator was known prior to applicant's invention.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,143

Art Unit: 3731

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd 28 February 2006